

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 03/06/2015 AT UNNATI CONFERENCE  
HALL, KRISHI BHAWAN NEW DELHI**

List of participants is annexed.

**Joint Secretary (SA)** initiated the meeting with a welcome address and a brief introduction of the participants from States and UIDAI. JS (SA) threw light on the agenda items of the meeting and their importance in the effective implementation of National Social Assistance Programme. He also informed the States that the funds for the current year will be released only on the basis digitized data available on NSAP-MIS provided it should not exceed the ceiling already communicated to the States/UTs vide this Ministry's letter dated 8<sup>th</sup> November 2012.

S.No	Issues	Discussions/Conclusions
1.	<b>NSAP-MIS</b>	<p>JS(SA) apprised the representatives about the importance of using NSAP-MIS and aligning the State software with the central software. He stressed upon the need to converge both the softwares, state by state, within next two months and ensure that all data of the beneficiaries available with the States is digitized and Aadhaar Nos. linked.</p> <p><b>Manipur and Mizoram:</b> States are using manual sanction of benefit. Not digitizing the data of beneficiaries. Requested for training in data entry.</p> <p><b>Chattisgarh:</b> State is availing the facilities of <b>CMC</b> for the 30 services provided by the States.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> States using own software were requested to port data on NSAP-MIS regularly. States were also requested not to port bulky data which may cause congestion.</p>
2.	<b>Aadhar linking or collection of Aadhar/Eid No., Direct Benefit Transfer, Electronic Transfer/Disbursement of Pension</b>	<p>JS(SA) apprised the representatives of the States about the instructions from PMO regarding complete digitization of data of beneficiaries and linking of Aadhar No. All the states have been requested to complete the digitization/ Aadhaar seeding at the earliest and also ensure the monthly payment of pension at the earliest. JS(SA) informed the participants that DBT Commissioner has agreed that it is not possible for 100% payment through DBT under NSAP as the persons involved here belong to most vulnerable section of the society. However, the States should issue advisory to the implementing agencies to collect Aadhar no. or EID of every data digitized.</p> <p>State of <b>Haryana</b> informed that the State is implementing DBT efficiently and 2.7 lakh beneficiaries are getting benefit under the scheme. Benefit is transferred to the Bank A/c of the beneficiaries, where banks are available in the radius of 1.5 kms and at the doorstep, if banks are not available in the above radius. Help of Mini Banks/Cooperative</p>

banks has been taken in this regard and a MOU has also been signed to open the Micro ATMs (with face/voice recognition features). Vodafone are the BCAs of ICICI and Vodafone SIMs are provided to the beneficiaries, free of cost by the Banks and benefits are paid by M-Pesa technology of Vodafone. It has also informed by the UIDAI officials that 89% of the people in Haryana have Aadhaar numbers and 100% of the population of 18 years or more are having Aadhaar numbers. They informed that they have completed I<sup>st</sup> Phase however, 5500 villages are not covered where there are no banks. Efforts are going on through BPOs, Mini banks, cooperative banks, pilot micro ATMs etc.

The State of **Haryana** expressed their inability in collecting the Aadhar nos. of all the digitized data beneficiaries as the SRDH does not share the data of those beneficiaries who do not consent for sharing.

**Decision: It was decided that UIDAI may give directives to SRDH to share the data with the State.**

State of **Chhattisgarh** informed that they have hired the services of **CMC** for the 30 schemes provided to beneficiaries by the States. Only, online Sanction has been done by the State. State committed to start online payment/disbursement system at the earliest. UIDAI officials told that 74% of the people in the state have Aadhaar numbers (88.3 % of the persons of 18+ of age). State directed to map the EID with UID.

**Decision: State of Chhattisgarh was requested to ensure collection of Aadhaar No. /EID No. within three months and also to start online disbursement.**

State of **Gujarat** informed that only online sanction has been done by the State and excel sheets are generated. Only 40% of the beneficiaries having Bank A/c's. Majority of benefits are paid manually through Money orders. State also informed that they are not having the data of 07 newly formed districts and payments are done through the old districts, from which they are formed. State is lagging behind in data digitization. UIDAI officials told that 67% of the people in the state have Aadhaar numbers (83% of the persons of 18+ of age are Aadhaar enrolled).

**Decision: State committed to complete the digitization by 30<sup>th</sup> June 2015. State was requested to take up digitization, collection of Aadhaar No. in campaign mode, if required an IT officer may be sent to Delhi. It was decided that at least 25% Aadhaar seeding would be under taken. A letter to this effect will be sent to Secretary, Gujarat.**

State of **Rajasthan** informed that the state is using their own software and have digitized the data of 90%

beneficiaries. State having 58 lakh beneficiaries under state schemes and out of which data of 10.65 lakh are available on NSAP-MIS. State is enrolling the people of state under Bhamashah Yojana, under which unique identity numbers are provided to the families. They also informed that, the state is facing problem in entering the address field in beneficiaries data.,

**Decision: The issue of entering ward no., location etc. faced by Rajasthan Government will be sorted out in consultation with NIC team in Delhi. State informed that the data of the beneficiaries will be ported to NSAP-MIS by 30<sup>th</sup> June 2015.**

State of **Uttarakhand** has the old data of 2010, which require to be updated. Payment to 70% beneficiaries is done through banks. However, in hilly areas payment is also done through Money Orders. Digitization not done by the State, because of non-availability of BPL family ID's. The State was informed that the family ID is not mandatory.

**Decision: NIC-team informed the state that the data of beneficiaries can be digitized with skipping the entry of BPL no's. NIC-Team informed the state to do the mapping of payments. The State was requested to capture the EID no., if Aadhaar card is not available, on the data of NSAP beneficiaries. A person from State Government may visit NIC team to sort out issues regarding pushing of data to NSAP-MIS within two weeks.**

State of **Manipur** informed that they don't have their own software and data entry of the beneficiaries have to be done by NIC. They provided the CD of the data to NIC team. State is manually sanctioning the pension. State has informed that they don't have information on Aadhaar seeding. State requested for training in online sanction of payment. **UIDAI team** informed that 46% of the people in Manipur are Aadhaar enrolled and 56% of the people of 18 years or more are Aadhaar enrolled.

**Decision: The State was requested to obtain the clearance of Finance Department in the beginning and undertake sanctions and payments through online. The State Government was requested to impart training to the officials for data entry. State was requested to furnish the UC for 2013-14 for release of funds.**

State of **Mizoram** requested for training in digitization of data at district headquarters. State is sanctioning benefit manually and maintains the list of 25000 beneficiaries manually. Information on Aadhaar seeding not available with State.

**Decision: The representative of the State Government**

		<p>was requested to send request for training, details of persons who required training and the venue for training from the Secretary of the Social Welfare of the State.</p> <p>State of Tamil Nadu uses its own software. The process of pushing of data from State-MIS to NSAP-MIS has already been tested and will be taken up after over coming certain technical aspects such as</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Updation of old data</li> <li>Problem in insertion</li> <li>Matching of format etc.</li> </ol> <p><b>Decision: The State assured that the process will be complete within week's time.</b></p>
3.	<b>Grievance Redressal</b>	<p>JS(SA) informed the participants about the EPW survey wherein it was found that the problem in disbursement of pension is not the leakage but the wrong selection of beneficiaries and hence, the need for Social Audit is felt under the Social Security Scheme. All the representatives of the State Government present, informed about the prevailing system of Grievance Redressal in their respective states.</p> <p><b>Mizoram:</b> There is a Senior citizens association in the State of Mizoram, which is looking after the grievances/complaints of the beneficiaries. The reports/complaints/fresh applications are being submitted through these associations.</p> <p><b>Manipur:</b> Grievances/Complaints are received/submitted by the Local MLA's. Locals MLA's are playing key roles in the implementation of social welfare schemes in the States.</p> <p><b>Rajasthan:</b> Grievances are dealt by the SDO/BDO/Collectors of the Districts.</p> <p><b>Decision: The States Governments were requested to formulate a defined process for Grievance Redressal as well as designate an authority for redressing grievances.</b></p>
4.	<b>Social Audit</b>	<p>States have been directed to complete the social audit for the NSAP schemes along with MGNREGA and IAY.</p> <p>State of Tamilnadu informed that they are doing social audit and will do in future alongwith MGNREGA and IAY.</p>
5.	<b>State Specific Guidelines</b>	<p>The schemes under NSAP are implemented by different departments in different States, the practices followed by States differ from state to state hence a provision has been made in the Revised Guidelines of NSAP for formulation of State Specific Guidelines addressing the state specific issues and practices. Accordingly, all the States/UTs were requested time and again to submit State Specific Guidelines to the Ministry for one time approval.</p> <p>The State of Odisha was requested to include the issue of</p>

		<p>cash payment of pension in their State Specific Guidelines and obtain the approval of Empowered Committee for the same.</p> <p>The Representatives of the States present in the meeting committed to provide the State Specific Guidelines same at the earliest.</p>
6.	<b>Release of Funds</b>	<p>State of <b>Manipur</b> has not submitted the UC's for the year 2013-14. State committed to provide the same by 30<sup>th</sup> June 2015.</p> <p>States were informed that the 4<sup>th</sup> installment for the year 2014-15 could not be released due to reduction in funds at R.E. stage. However, approval of Secretary (RD) was obtained to release the pending liability to 4<sup>th</sup> installment to all the eligible States/UTs from the budget 2015-16. Accordingly, funds to the tune of Rs 1120.87 crore have been released to Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Himachal Pradesh, Karnataka, Kerala Maharashtra, Rajasthan, Uttarakhand, West Bengal, Assam, Nagaland, Tripura. The release of funds to the tune of Rs. 751.44 crore to Chhattisgarh, Haryana, Madhya Pradesh, Telangana, Chandigarh, Punjab, Mizoram, J&amp;K, Gujarat, Odisha and Tamil Nadu States are under submission. The remaining States/UTs have not fulfilled the terms and conditions for the release of 4<sup>th</sup> quarter installment for the year 2014-15.</p>

The meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.

